DRAFT SCRUTINY PROJECT BRIEF

The following draft officer project brief is intended to serve as a starting point for Member discussion on how to take forward the review.

Name of Review: The level and nature of mental health services to teenage black men in Southwark

Members are asked to consider whether the above title reflects the desired focus for the review.

Date(s) of consideration:30 July 2003 –
17 Dec 2003 –
Member to scope review and provide steer for
future sessions/site visits

Why is the Committee doing this?

• Member interest/in work programme

Background

As requested by the Sub-Committee, contact has been made with providers named within the report presented to this Sub-Committee on 30th July 2003, and invitations extended to meet with the Sub-Committee. Submissions received will be fed into the ongoing review as evidence. However, no written submissions have been received to date.

Contact with other local and national providers able to inform the review and provide challenge to the inquiry will be made once a steer has been given in respect of the aims and outcomes of the review.

Who/what does the Committee seek to influence with this work?

Members are asked to consider how the review might add value.

What will the Committee's output be?

• Written report/recommendations;

Who does the Sub-Committee need to receive advice/evidence from?

- Providers of mental health and counselling services in the borough [inc. Social Services, NHS, partnership services, voluntary sector counselling and mental health providers, national/local organisations];
- Service users [through user forums, user-led self-help groups] N.B. the way in which users/potential users of mental health services perceive services;
- Advocacy organisations [mainstream/other];
- Carers/parents of young people;
- Voluntary and statutory sector staff;
- Youth Service/Education reps.

What approach should the Sub-Committee use to invite input?

- site visits
- invitations to submit written evidence
- meetings with/presentations from providers
- using existing provider networks/meetings

Members are asked to consider how they wish to approach evidence-gathering, within the constraints of scheduled meetings and available Member time.

How should the review be publicised? E.g. website, Southwark Life, via community council agendas, community groups

- Existing networks [providers, users, agencies and related organisations/information points];
- Consider individual communications strategy [as per OSC decision];
- Youth Council;
- Youth Clubs, schools.